

STATE OF MAINE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2003-544

September 16, 2003

MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
Investigation of the Administration of T&D
Contracts Associated With Prior Conservation
Efforts

EXAMINER'S DATA REQUEST
NO. 1

I. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

1. Please provide the response to each numbered request on a separate sheet of paper, or papers. Each sheet of paper should be three-hole punched.
2. For each response, please state (1) the name(s) and title(s) of the person(s) responsible for preparing the response, and (2) the name(s) and title(s) of the person(s) who are competent to give testimony concerning the response and all documents produced as part of the responses.
3. Where information requested is not available in the precise form described in the question, or is not available for all years indicated, please provide all information with respect to the subject matter of the question that can be identified in the Utility's Workpapers and files, or that is otherwise available.
4. As used in this data request, "available" means within the Utility's knowledge, possession, or control, or within the party's power, capacity or ability to retrieve or obtain from an affiliate, a contractor, or any other source.
5. Please file responses to oral data requests under separate cover.
6. Please provide responses by September 29, 2003.

II. DATA REQUESTS

Question directed to Bangor Hydro-Electric Company:

1. Please provide a detailed description and time requirement involved in the monthly/yearly processing of data and invoices associated with the Prior Conservation Contract including systems required (for example customer usage, if appropriate).

Questions directed to Central Maine Power:

2. Are there Letters of Credit still remaining on the existing contracted rebates and if so what are the remaining balances on those Letters of Credits?
3. Is the August 25 response correct in that Brenda Hendrickson spends one hour per year and Andrea Sargent spends 1% of her time on the administration of the existing contracted rebates?
4. What technical resources, other than Walter Isgro, does CMP have to assist in the evaluation of the energy management projects that comprise the Power Partners Contracts?
5. Please explain the difference between CMP's Power Partners Cost Projects filed on April 29, 2002 in Docket 2002-124 and those filed in this docket. Are there any other costs, like deferred contract buyout payments, that would impact the Power Partner cost projects? Have there been changes to projects that would result in these changes?
6. Based on the actual Power Partners expenditures from January to June 2003 as stated in CMP's recent Chapter 380 filing, does CMP expect to be within budget for 2003?

Dated: September 16, 2003

Submitted by,

James A. Buckley
Hearing Examiner